

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/606,503	06/26/2003	Jeyhan Karaoguz	14046US02 5221	
	590 03/19/2007	EXAMINER		
	HELD & MALLOY, LTI DISON STREET	BURROWES, LAWRENCE J		
SUITE 3400 CHICAGO, IL 60661			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL C	00001		2616	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/19/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/606,50	3	KARAOGUZ ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
			E J. BURROWES	2616				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	ation appears on the	cover sheet with the c	correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any (CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI nations of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community or to reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF TH 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eve ication. ory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the appl	IS COMMUNICATION nt, however, may a reply be tin l expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C.§ 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <i>26 June 2003</i> .		•				
′=	•)⊠ This action is n	on-final.					
<i>,</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
-,-	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the app	olication.	•					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)🛛	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 June 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies flot received.								
	•							
Attachmen	t(s)		_					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	2.0.40	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 			5) Notice of Informal i					
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>02/21/2007</u> . 6) Other:							

DETAILED ACTION

1. The term "adapted to" in claims 13-18, is not positively recited claim limitation.

Therefore, the limitations after the term are not considered as claim limitations. It is suggested the applicant remove the term.

Specification

2. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 1, 3, 7, 9, 13 and 15 objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 1 line 9, the recitation of "a coverage area" seems to refer to "a coverage area" in lines 6-7, if this is true, it is suggested applicant change to ---the coverage area---. Similar problem exists in claims 7 and 13.

In claim 3 line 3, the recitation of "at least one" seems to refer to "at least one" in claim 2 line 2, if this is true, it is suggested applicant change "at least one" to ---the at least one—. Similar problem exists in claims 9 and 15.

In claim 3 line 3, the recitation of "a status" seems to refer to "a status" in claim 1 line 8, if this is true, it is suggested applicant change to ---the status---. Similar problem exists in claims 9 and 15.

Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/606,503 Page 3

Art Unit: 2616

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

For claims 1 and 7, the claim falls within a statutory category and includes a judicial exception but has no practical application.

Claims 2-6 are rejected because they depend on claim 1.

Claims 8-12 are rejected because they depend on claim 7.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which the subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Application/Control Number: 10/606,503

Art Unit: 2616

7. Claims 1-4, 7-9, and 13-16 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Norman et al (6,049,533, hereafter Norman) in view of Cromer et al (2004/0001467, hereafter Cromer).

For claims 1-4, 7-10 and 13-16, Norman disclose providing communication in a hybrid wired/wireless local area network, the method comprising: at least one broadcaster (see Figure 3 Box 42, transceiver) broadcasting at least one discovery message protocol message to at least one of a plurality of access points (see column 11 lines 34-39) and at least one receiver (see Figure 3 Box 42, transceiver) receiving a response from the at least one of a plurality of access points, the response reporting a presence of at least one access device located within a coverage area of the at least one of a plurality of access points (see column 11 lines 34-39.

Norman disclose all of the subject matter of the claimed invention except a requester (see Figure 2 Box 222, AP programmed to request) sending/requesting from the at least one of a plurality of access points, a status of the at least one access device located within a coverage area of the at least one of a plurality of access points and receiving from the at least one of a plurality of access points within whose coverage area the at least one access device is located, at least one status reply message indicating a status of the at least one of a plurality of access devices. The invention of Cromer from the same or similar fields of endeavor sending/requesting from the at least one of a plurality of access points, a status of the at least one access device located within a coverage area of the

Art Unit: 2616

at least one of a plurality of access points (see paragraph 0043 lines 1-6) and receiving from the at least one of a plurality of access points within whose coverage area the at least one access device is located, at least one status reply message indicating a status of the at least one of a plurality of access devices (see paragraph 0043 lines 1-6). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the status request of Cromer in the broadcast system of Norman. The status request can be modified/implemented into the broadcast system by programming the access point to send a message requesting status of the access device. The motivation for doing so would be for updating the access table in the access point so that bandwidth could be monitored.

8. Claims 5, 6, 11, 12, 17 and 18 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Norman, in view of Cromer, and further in view of Freeburg (4,481,670).

For claims 5, 6, 11 and 12, Norman in view of Cromer teach the system according to the limitation for claims 1 and 7 above except broadcasting the discovery message from one of a server, a switch and at least one of the access points and broadcasting only to access points located in a particular subnetwork. Freeburg from the same or similar fields of endeavor teaches broadcasting the discovery message from one of a server (see Figure 1 Box 102), a switch (see Figure 1 Box 104) and at least one of the access points (see Figure 1 Box 106)

Art Unit: 2616

and broadcasting only to access points located in a particular subnetwork (see Figure 2 Box 212, 222 and 232). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the communication system of Freeburg in the broadcasting system combination of Norman and Cromer. The communication system can be modified/implemented into the broadcast system by connecting the server and the switch to the wired network. The motivation for doing so would offload processing in access point to the server so the access points would not be overloaded.

Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Lee et al (6621809), Blakeny, II et al (5638412), Cromer wt al (2004/0203818), Lietsalmi et al (6552877), and Cheung et al (5812531).
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LAWRENCE J. BURROWES whose telephone number is (571) 270-1419. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Thursday 8am 2pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wing F. Chan can be reached on (571) 272-7493. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/606,503

Art Unit: 2616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

LJB

WING CHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 7